

December 14, 2018

The Honorable Ajit Pai, Chairman  
The Honorable Michael O’Rielly, Commissioner  
The Honorable Brendan Carr, Commissioner  
The Honorable Jessica Rosenworcel, Commissioner  
Federal Communications Commission  
455 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Southwest  
Washington, DC, 20544

*RE: MB Docket No 05-311 In the Matter of Implementation of Section 621(a)(1) of the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984 as Amended by the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992*

I am writing in support of the Comments of the Cable Act Preservation Alliance (“CAPA”) in the Second Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making. I oppose the tentative conclusions the FCC reaches in the proceeding because of their impacts on our community.

The importance of Community Access Television has been vital to my career in many ways. The most important way is the fact that it was there where I developed my skills that helped me to be in the industry today. It also showed me how well connected to the community we are and how people actually enjoy our presence.

By allowing cable operators to reduce franchise fee support for local communities if they decide to keep local PEG channels (which have been understood for decades, under cable law, to be a negotiated obligation of franchise agreements, separate of franchise fees,) the FNPRM diminishes the ability of PEG channels to serve communities such as ours, and very likely eliminates the PEG channels in most communities due to the resultant loss of fees that most cities will not be able to afford. I ask that the FNRPM not be adopted. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Akeem Collins